

## EVALUATION OF THE CONTENT AND VALIDITY OF COSTS OF THE PROJECT APPLICATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AND HUMANITARIAN AID FUND

Number	assigned to the project application:							
Project t	itle:							
Applican								
Concept (No and t	for which the project application is submitted: itle)	<to correct="" one="" select="" the=""></to>						
	Criteria	Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–20 points)	Comments	
1. Releva	nce of the project							
1.1.	Does the project correspond to the areas of development cooperation specified in the concept?	c	0	0	•	-		
1.2.	Does the project contribute to the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.3.	Will the project contribute to the implementation of Lithuania's foreign policy priorities?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.4.	Will the project contribute to the implementation of the strategic agreements of the European Union and the Republic of Lithuania with the partner state(s)?	0	0	0	•	=		
1.5.	Will the project contribute to environmental protection and climate change mitigation?	0	0	0		-		
1.6.	Does the project contribute to the development of democracy and (or) human rights and (or) support for civic society in the partner state?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.7.	Does the project support the principles of good governance and (or) the rule of law in the partner country?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.8.	Does the project contribute to the partner state's cooperation with the European Union and (or) support its aspiration to integrate into this organization?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.9.	Will the project contribute to strengthening communities to reduce damage caused by disasters (natural or man-made)?	0	0	0	•	-		
1.10.	Will the project contribute to support for trade, opening up new opportunities for business entities of the Republic of Lithuania or creating a favorable environment for the activities of companies of the Republic of Lithuania in the partner state?	C	C	C	•	-		
	Criteria	Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–20 points)	Comments	
2. Projec	t effectiveness							
2.1.	Do the aims and objectives of the project correspond to the aims and objectives specified in the concept?	o	O	o		-		
2.2.	Are the goals and objectives of the project properly formulated (specific, rational and time-bound) and can be fulfilled?	O	O	O	•	-		
2.3.	Do the expected results meet the aims and objectives of the project, the needs of the selected target group?	0	0	0	•	-		
2.4.	Are the planned activities associated with the planned costs in order to achieve the corresponding results?	0	O	0	•	=		
2.5.	Are the planned activities and project results measurable, concrete, defined in terms of time?	0	0	0	•	-		

2.6.	Is the planned implementation period of the project realistic for the implementation of the planned activities?	0	0	0	•	=	
2.7.	Does the project application contain a realistic plan for the implementation of activities, the time and duration of the implementation of individual activities?	0	0	0	•	-	
2.8.	Is coordination and cooperation with similar projects implemented/intended to be implemented by other implementers or partners in the partner state planned?	0	0	0	•	-	
2.9.	Are the most important possible risk factors identified in the project application; and are they properly assessed in the project application?	О	О	0	*	-	
2.10.	Does the project application include appropriate measures to reduce/avoid possible risk factors and alternatives, if they could not be avoided; is the persons responsible for the application of these measures indicated?	0	0	C	•	-	
	Criteria	Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–16 points)	Comments
3. Financ	cing of the project						
3.1.	Is the total value of the project sufficiently realistic to implement the project and to achieve the planned results?	0	0	С	•	-	
3.2.	Is the submitted project estimate based on the project structure; and does it correspond to the planned activities?	О	0	0	•	-	
3.3.	Are the costs for the implementation of the activities provided for in the project estimate eligible for financing?	0	0	0	•	-	
3.4.	Are all project costs reasonable and necessary for the implementation of the project, taking into account the project's goals, objectives, planned activities and results?	0	0	0	•	-	
3.5.	Do the costs of implementing the activities comply with the principle of economy and do not exceed the average market prices?	0	0	0	•	-	
3.6.	Is the applicant's and (or) partner's contribution to the implementation of the project's activities and tasks by allocating their own contribution bigger than it is required in the conditions of the Call?	C	C	C	•	=	
3.7.	Is a financial contribution from the project partner in the partner country foreseen?	С	С	С	•	-	
3.8.	Are financial contributions from other entities foreseen?	С	C	C	•	-	
	Criteria	Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–20 points)	Comments
4. Projec	t continuity, impact and sustainability		ı	ı			
4.1.	Does the proposed project continue the activities of a previously implemented project?	0	c	c	•	-	
4.2.	Is (could be) the proposed project continuous - have clear and weighty arguments been presented to confirm the need and possibility of continuity?	С	C	С	•	-	
4.3.	Will the expected results ensure the sustainability of the project and will be used by the beneficiaries after the end of the project?	О	С	С	•	-	
4.4.	Does the partner country has sufficient institutional capacities to continue the project activities started, to use the results of the implemented project in the partner country and to monitor their use?	0	С	0	*	-	
4.5.	Is the participation of the project partner in the partner country foreseen in the implementation of the project?	0	0	0	•	-	
4.6.	Is it planned to involve the authorities of the partner country in the implementation of project activities?	О	С	С	•	-	

			_				_
4.7.	Is the inclusion of the target group in the implementation of activities foreseen?	С	С	0	•	ı	
4.8.	Will the expected results affect future changes (political, economic, social, psychosocial, etc.) of the beneficiaries?	0	С	0	•	1	
4.9.	Does the project supplement other development cooperation activities in the partner country, region or sector?	О	С	С	•	-	
4.10.	Are there measures to ensure the transferability of the project results foreseen; and are there measures to ensure the sustainability of the project foreseen?	0	С	О	•	-	
	Criteria	Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–14 points)	Comments
5. Projec	et organisation and management						
5.1.	Is the project management structure clear and the functions of the project participants (project promoters, partners, project manager, financier, etc.) properly defined?	0	0	0	•	-	
5.2.	Does the project promoter have the necessary experience to carry out the project?	()	О	0	•	-	
5.3.	Does the project manager have the necessary experience to carry out the project?	0	0	0	•	=	
5.4.	Does the project partner in the partner country have the necessary experience to carry out the project?	0	0	0	•	-	
5.5.	Does the project coordinator in the partner country have the necessary experience?	0	0	0	•	-	
5.6.	Do the project experts have the necessary experience?	С	С	O	•	ū	
5.7.	Has the environmental impact assessment of the project been properly carried out according to the Project Environmental Impact Criteria?	О	О	С	•	-	
6. Public	ity of the project						
6. Public		Yes	Partly	No	Irrelevant	Score given* (0–20 points)	Comments
6. Public	ity of the project		•				Comments
6.1.	Criteria  Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals	0	0	0	•		Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2.	Criteria  Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences		•				Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project	0	0	0	•	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the	0	0	0	•	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen:	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3. 6.2.4.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3. 6.2.4.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3. 6.2.4.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events umber of points for the project application (0-110	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	(0–20 points)	Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3. 6.2.4.	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events umber of points for the project application (0-110 points):	ONS FOR TI	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c		Comments
6.1. 6.1.1. 6.1.2. 6.1.3. 6.1.4. 6.1.5. 6.2. 6.2.1. 6.2.2. 6.2.3. 6.2.4. 6.2.5. Total n	Are specific measures to publicise the project in Lithuania foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events Are specific measures to publicise the project in the partner country foreseen: articles in the press, online news portals press conferences radio/television interviews social media networks and/or blogs and/or project manager/partner websites other public events umber of points for the project application (0-110 points):	ONS FOR TI  Agree ANY COMME	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●		Comments

<sup>\*</sup> When calculating the awarded points, the maximum number of points provided for each criterion is divided by the number of questions intended to evaluate the criterion and relevant for the evaluation. For example, compliance with the foreign policy priorities of the Republic of Lithuania is assessed with a total of 30 points, and 10 questions are presented. If all 10 questions are relevant, when evaluating a specific project, the total number of points (30) is divided by the number of questions (10) and thus the value of each answer to the question is determined (3). If one or more questions are not relevant when evaluating a specific project, the total number of points (30) is divided by the correspondingly smaller number of questions that are relevant (for example, 7) and the value of one answer to the question is also obtained (4.3). Thus, in this case, the value of the evaluation "yes" would be 4.3 points, "no" - 0 points, and irrelevant questions would not be evaluated. After adding the answer values, the resulting sum is rounded to whole numbers, following the mathematical rules for rounding numbers.