Annex to the Call

FUND FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AND HUMANITARIAN AID

ASSESSMENT OF THE CONTENT AND REASONABLENESS OF COSTS OF THE PROJECT APPLICATION

Number assigned to application:	
Project title:	
The applicant:	
The concept for which the project application is submitted: (Number and name)	☐ Concept 1 "Promotion of societal resilience to disinformation and prevention of hybrid threats in Armenia" ☐ Concept 2 "Strengthening national and regional media capabilities and resilience to disinformation in Moldova" ☐ Concept 3 "Economic and social empowerment of youth and women in Iraq" *(Choose the appropriate one)*

Part I. ASSESSMENT OF THE CONTENT AND REASONABLENESS OF COSTS OF THE PROJECT APPLICATION

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
1.	**Relevance of the project**	**18**	**9,00**		
1.1.	Compliance of the project with the Lithuania's strategic directions for Development Cooperation. *The project application describes in detail how the project contributes to the specific Lithuania's strategic directions for development cooperation and to the implementation of the objectives of the development cooperation policy of the*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept, and justifies the specific contribution made - 5 scores. *The project application provides a detailed description of how the project contributes to the specific Lithuania's strategic directions for development cooperation and to the implementation of objectives of the development cooperation policy of the European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept, and justifies the specific contribution, but there are some inconsistencies / uncertainties - 4 scores.* *The project application states that the project contributes to the implementation of the specific Lithuania's strategic directions for development cooperation and (or) to the implementation of objectives of the development cooperation policy of the European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept and provides a partial justification of the contribution made - 3 scores.* *The project application states that the project contributes to the implementation of the specific Lithuania's strategic directions for and (or) to the implementation of objectives of the development cooperation policy of the European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept, but does not provide any justification of the contribution made - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the project contributes to the strategic Lithuania's strategic directions for development cooperation and (or) to the implementation of objectives of the development cooperation policy of the European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept, but does not provide any justification of how it contributes - 1 score.* *The project application does not indicate that the project contributes to the strategic Lithuania's strategic directions for development cooperation and (or) to the implementation of objectives of the development cooperation policy of the European Union (if any), as set out in the Concept - 0 score.*				
1.2.	Contribution of the project to the Sustainable Development Goals. *The project application clearly identifies the specific goals to which the project contributes and justifies the contribution - 3 scores.*	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project application states specific goals and how the project contributes to them, but the contribution is not substantiated or is abstract - 2 scores. *The project application only mentions the Sustainable Development Goals to which the project may contribute - 1 score.* *The project application does not specify any specific goal to which the project contributes - 0 score.*				
1.3.	The problem solved by the project is relevant and important. 5 scores will be awarded if the project application meets all the criteria listed below (where applicable): *- the problem to be solved by the project is clearly defined and analysed: the relevance of the problem at the level of the partner country is clearly demonstrated;* *- the possible solution(s) of the problem described;* *- the need for the project to solve the problem and how the project solves the defined problem is indicated;* *- the target group is clearly defined (there may be several groups), the target group is suitable under the terms and conditions of the Call.* *4 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:* *- the relevance of the problem at partner country level is disclosed, but there are minor inaccuracies;* *- the target group is defined (there may be several groups), but there are some minor inaccuracies.* *3 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:* *- the problem raised in the application is clear but not sufficiently analysed;* *- the solution(s) to the problem is (are) described, but there are inaccuracies and the project does not explain why it is needed to solve the problem;* *- the target group is partially suitable under the terms and conditions of the Call.* *2 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	- the problem description in the application is unclear and not analysed; *- the choice of the activities provided for in the project as the best solution is not presented, justified and (or) does not disclose why the project is needed to solve the problem.* *1 score shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:* *- the relevance and significance of the problem at partner country level is not identified;* *- the target group is not specified or only partially suitable, or not clearly identified.* *0 score shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:* *- the target group is not suitable under the terms and conditions of the Call;* *- the application does not provide information on the problem.*				
1.4.	**Compliance of the project with the horizontal priorities of development cooperation activities** (1 - Democracy and Human Rights, 2 - Good Governance, 3 - Gender Equality, 4 - Environment and Climate Change, 5 - Digitalisation and Innovation, 6 - Poverty Reduction and Economic Development). *The project application states that the main objective of the project is to contribute to at least one (1) of the horizontal priorities, or that the project has a significant, relevant objective to contribute to at least two of the horizontal priorities, justifies how the project complies with them, and explains how the project contributes to the selected priority - 3 scores.* *The project application states that the main objective of the project or a significant, relevant objective is to contribute to at least one (1) of the horizontal priorities but does not clearly disclose how and (or) to what extent it contributes to implementation of the objectives of these priorities - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the main objective of the project or a significant, relevant objective is to contribute to at least one (1) of the horizontal priorities, but no justification is provided - 1 score.*	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project application does not indicate that the project will contribute to the horizontal priorities - 0 score. *No scores will be awarded (i.e. 0 score is awarded) to the applications which do not indicate that one of the main objective of the project or a significant, relevant objective is to contribute to the area of cooperation foreseen in the concept for which the project application is submitted (where applicable).*				
1.5.	An environmental impact assessment has been carried out for the project, taking into account its nature, size and (or) location. *Where the project application indicates that the project will have no impact on the environment, or where the project application indicates that the project will have an impact on the environment and the environmental impact assessment carried out in the project application is accurate in accordance with the environmental impact assessment criteria of the project - 2 scores;* *Where the project application indicates that the project will have an impact on the environment and the environmental impact assessment is carried out in the project application, but it contains inaccuracies - 1 score;* *Where the project application indicates that the project will have an environmental impact, but the project application does not carry out an environmental impact assessment of the project in accordance with the project's environmental impact assessment criteria - 0 score.* *No scores will be awarded (i.e. 0 score is awarded) for applications which indicate that the project will have no impact on the environment, even though the project or the concept has an environmental impact.*	2			
2.	**Project justification**	**20**	**10,00**		
2.1.	The results and objectives of the project are properly formulated (specific, measurable, relevant and time-bound). *The objectives and results of the project reveal the essence of the project, are quantitatively expressed and measured. The objectives are clearly linked to the aim of the project and the results are clearly detailed according to the activities to be carried out and the groups of activities to be carried out - 5 scores.*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The objectives and results of the project reveal the essence of the project, are quantitatively expressed and measured. The objectives are clearly linked to the aim of the project, the results are detailed according to the activities to be carried out, the groups of activities to be carried out, but there are minor contradictions or inconsistencies - 4 scores. *The objectives and results of the project reveal the essence of the project, are quantitatively expressed, the data provided gives a full understanding of the planned scope of the project, the result to be generated by each project activity, but there are significant contradictions, inconsistencies, however, the whole information provided gives a full understanding of the planned scope of the project, or allows to attribute a part (more than half) of the results to the relevant activities - 3 scores.* *The objectives and results of the project reveal the essence of the project, most of them (more than a half) are quantitatively expressed, but there are significant contradictions and inconsistencies in the information provided, which make it difficult to understand the full scope of the project or to attribute a part of the results (less than a half) to the relevant activities - 2 scores.* *The objectives and results of the project are abstract, the essence and characteristics of the project are partially reflected, are not quantitatively expressed or not sufficiently specific, more than a half of the results are not attributed to specific activities or the attribution is not clear - 1 score.* *Project objectives are abstract, do not reflect the essential characteristics of the project and are not quantitatively expressed - 0 score.*				
2.2.	Consistency of internal project logic. *The project aim is clear, specific and comply with the project aim set out in the concept for which the application is submitted, the application maintains a consistent internal logic of the project, where the expected results comply with the aim and objectives of the project, are the result of the activity of the project, and the planned activities of the project form the assumption for the implementation of the objectives of the project and the latter - for the achievement of the aim of the project or the needs of the selected target group - 5 scores.*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project aim is clear and complies with the aim of the concept. The application maintains a consistent internal project logic, where the project results comply with the set aim and objectives, are the result of the activity of the project, and the planned activities of the project form the assumption for the implementation of the objectives of the project and the latter - for the achievement of the aim of the project, but there are minor inconsistencies or contradictions in the descriptions provided, that do not interfere with understanding the logic of the project - 4 scores. *The project aim complies with the aim of the concept. The application maintains a consistent internal project logic, but there are contradictions or inconsistencies in the descriptions provided, indicating that not all the results comply with the set aim and (or) objectives and (or) not all the results are the result of the project activities - 3 scores.* *The project aim is abstract and (or) partially complies with the aim of the concept. The consistent internal logic of the project is partially maintained in the application, not all planned activities contribute to the achievement of the project aim and objectives - 2 scores.* *The project aim is not sufficiently clear and consistent with the aim of the concept. The consistent internal logic of the project is partially maintained in the application, most (more than a half) of the planned activities do not contribute to the achievement of the objective and aim of the project - 1 score.* *The application does not maintain a consistent internal logic of the project, there is no link between the planned activities and objectives, objectives and the aim and results of the project- 0 score.*				
2.3.	The project application contains a realistic plan for the implementation of the activities, the timing and duration of individual activities. *The project implementation plan is clear, coherent, detailed (detailed according to the activities planned in the project application), realistic and has a rational timeframe for the implementation of the project activities - 3 scores.* *The project implementation plan is partially clear and (or) coherent and (or) detailed and the timeframe for implementation is rational - 2 scores.*	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project implementation plan is partially clear (or) coherent and (or) detailed and the timeframe for implementation is not rational - 1 score. *The project implementation plan is superficial, inconsistent, there is lack of details and the timeframe for implementation is not justified - 0 score.*				
2.4.	The project foresees coordination and cooperation with similar projects implemented or to be implemented by other promoters or partners in the partner country, or it is foreseen the involvement of the authorities of the partner country in the implementation of the project activities. *The project application identifies other specific promoters or partners with whom is foreseen coordination and cooperation of similar projects being implemented or to be implemented in the partner country, identifies and describes specific similar projects in the partner country and (or) identifies in the application the specific authorities in the partner country to be involved in the implementation of the activities of the project, and indicates how this involvement would be done - 2 scores.* *The project application identifies other promoters or partners with whom is foreseen coordination and cooperation of similar projects being implemented or to be implemented in the partner country, identifies and describes similar projects in the partner country, but there are inaccuracies, uncertainties and (or) the application identifies the specific authorities of the partner country that will be involved in the implementation of the activities of the project, but there are uncertainties or incomplete disclosure of how they will be involved - 1 score.* *The project application states that coordination and cooperation with similar projects implemented or expected to be implemented by other promoters or partners in the partner state is foreseen, but no justification is provided or the justification is abstract, no other specific promoters or partners and projects are mentioned and (or) the application does not identify the specific authorities in the partner country that will be involved in the implementation of the project activities (if relevant); or no coordination and cooperation with similar projects implemented or expected to be implemented by other promoters or partners in the partner state and (or) no involvement of partner country authorities in the implementation of the project activities is foreseen - 0 score.*	2			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
2.5.	The project complements other development cooperation activities in the partner country, region or sector. *The project application identifies other specific development cooperation activities in the partner country, region or sector to which the project is complementary - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the project complements other development cooperation activities in the partner country, region or sector, but does not specify which ones - 1 score.* *The project does not complement other development cooperation activities in the partner country, region or sector - 0 score*.	2			
2.6.	Involvement of the target group in the implementation of the activities is foreseen. *The project application clearly identifies the impact on the target group as a result of the project, and provides a clear and detailed description and justification of how the target group will be involved in the project activities - 3 scores.* *The project application indicates the impact on the target group as a result of the project and describes and justifies how the target group will be involved in the project activities, but there are some minor inaccuracies - 2 scores.* *The project application does not indicate the impact on the target group as a result of the project, the application indicates that the target group will be involved in the project activities, but does not specify how - 1 score.* *The target group in the project application is not suitable according to the concept, does not indicate the impact on the group as a result of the project and (or) does not describe how the target group will be involved in the project activities - 0 score.*	3			
3.	**Financial justification for the project**	**20**	**10,00**		
3.1.	Consistency of the project application estimate, and compliance with the structure of the project and planned activities.	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project application estimate is coherent, made according to the project structure, complies with the planned activities and the requirements (as set out in the Terms and Conditions of Call and in the project application estimate form), and demonstrates a clear link between the project activities, objectives and the planned costs - 3 scores. *The project application estimate is inconsistent, partially complying with the requirements for the estimate (as set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and the estimate form). There is no clear link between a part of costs and the project activities and objectives - 2 scores.* *The project application estimate is inconsistent, partially complying with the requirements for the estimate (as set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and the estimate form) and contains errors. There is no clear link between more than a half of costs and the project activities and objectives or the link is not justified - 1 score.* *The planned costs are not or are only partially related to the activities and objectives of the project, the estimate is prepared without compliance with the requirements (as set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and the estimate form) - 0 score.*				
3.2.	The costs of implementing the activities included in the project application estimate are eligible. *The costs of implementing the activities foreseen in the project application estimate are eligible (meet the requirements of the Terms and Conditions of the Call), the percentage limit provided for in the category of costs is observed (the indirect costs do not exceed 10 % of the total value of the project), and the own contribution does not exceed 50 % of the amount of contribution of the project promoter and (or) partner, if it is an in-kind contribution - 3 scores.* *The costs of implementing the activities foreseen in the project application estimate are eligible (meet the requirements of the Terms and Conditions of the Call), but the percentage limit for the category of costs is not observed (indirect costs exceed 10% of the total value of the project), or, if the own contribution is an in-kind contribution, the in-kind contribution exceeds 50 % of the amount of contribution of the project promoter and (or) partner - 2 scores.*	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The costs of the activities included in the project application estimate are partially eligible and (or) there are inaccuracies preventing the evaluation of all costs (less than 50 % of the all costs) - 1 score. *50% or more of the costs included in the project application estimate are ineligible and (or) there are inaccuracies which prevent the evaluation of all costs (not complying with the Terms and Conditions of the Call) - 0 score.* If, during the assessment of a project application, costs are identified as ineligible, they are deducted from the total project value.				
3.3.	The costs foreseen in the project application estimate are necessary to achieve the aims and results. *The costs of implementing the project, as estimated in the project application, are necessary to achieve the planned result - 4 scores.* *The costs of implementing the project, as estimated in the project application, are necessary to achieve the planned result and are realistic, but there are inconsistencies or contradictions that prevent a part of the costs (less than 5 percent of the total costs) from being linked to results or activities and determining their necessity - 3 scores.* *The costs of implementing the project, as estimated in the project application, are necessary to achieve the planned result. The discrepancies identified prevent a part of the costs (less than 25% of the total budget) from being linked to results or activities and determining their necessity - 2 scores.* *The costs of implementing the project, as estimated in the project application, are necessary to achieve the planned result. The discrepancies identified prevent a part of the costs (less than 50% of the total budget) from being linked to results or activities and determining their necessity - 1 score.* *50 % or more of the costs included in the estimate are unnecessary or unjustified - 0 score.* If, during the assessment of the project application, unjustified costs are identified, it is deducted from the total amount of project funds.	4			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
3.4.	Compliance with the cost-effectiveness principle in the cost estimates of the project application *5 scores will be awarded if the application meets all the criteria listed below (where applicable):* *- submitted price supporting documents specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call (3 commercial offers (or when the estimated value of the procured object is up to EUR 15 000.00 excl. VAT - at least 1 commercial offer) and/or provided screenshots from at least 3 e-shops), object prices are detailed in the supporting documents as it is detailed in the estimate, the objects presented in the supporting documents (their names) are identical to those indicated in the application, its estimate (if the specified costs comply with the exceptions to the provision of supporting documents applied in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, it is not applied);* *- the price is specified accurately, according to the submitted commercial offers or other supporting documents, calculated according to the average of commercial offers (when applicable);* *- business trip and travel expenses do not exceed the limits set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and legal acts;* *- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) do not exceed the rates indicated in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and (or) are otherwise clearly justified;* *- if the costs for wages are estimated, then information is provided about the average wage rates (applied to the respective position) applied in the company or institution, and the wage costs are calculated by multiplying these average wage rates (applied to the respective position) by the duration of work. If a different method of calculating the wage is chosen, a clear justification shall be given;* *- if the In-kind contribution is planned in the project application, the contribution in kind is a voluntary work expressed in monetary value in accordance with the monetary expression procedure laid down in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, a duly completed Certificate for Voluntary Work Justification in the form prescribed by the CPVA is submitted.* *If the original project application does not include all the information supporting the costs and the budgeted costs contain calculation errors, or exceed the cost limits or norms, the score will be reduced as follows (in the case*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	of more than one deficiency, the score will be awarded according to the more stringent evaluation description): *<u>4 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:</u>* *- submitted price supporting documents specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call (3 commercial offers (and when the estimated value of the procured object is up to EUR 15 000.00 excl. VAT - at least 1 commercial offer) and/or provided screenshots from at least 3 e-shops), but the object has not been detailed in the supporting documents as in the estimate, and (or) there are minor deficiencies;* *- the price is specified accurately, according to the submitted commercial offers and (or) their average, but there are calculation inaccuracies (up to 2% error) (when applicable);* *- business trip and travel expenses do not exceed the limits set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and legal acts (up to 2% error);* *- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) do not exceed the rates indicated in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and (or) are otherwise clearly justified (up to 2% error);* *- where the estimated wage costs are subject to minor calculation discrepancies in the price justifications provided, which do not affect the validity of the budget, the amounts are budgeted within a 2% error.* *<u>3 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:</u>* *- not all the documents justifying the prices are submitted as specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call (2 commercial offers (and when the estimated value of the procured object is up to EUR 15 000.00 excl. VAT - at least 1 commercial offer) and (or) provided screenshots from at least 2 e-shops), the supporting documents (information) do not contradict each other, their object is identical or partially identical to those specified in the application, the contradictions in its estimate are insignificant and do not affect the price;* *- the price is based on the commercial offers submitted and (or) their average, but there is a miscalculation (up to a 5% error) (where applicable);* *- business trip, travel expenses exceed the limits set in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, legal acts up to 5% (when not otherwise justified);*				

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) exceed the rates specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call by up to 5 % (where not otherwise justified); *- if wage costs are estimated, there are minor calculation discrepancies in the price justifications provided which affect the budget justification by up to 25%;* *- if the own contribution consists of an in-kind contribution, it is not a volunteer work expressed in monetary value in accordance with the procedure for expressing it in monetary value laid down in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, or the Voluntary Work Justification Certificate in the form prescribed by the CPVA has not been submitted, or the Certificate has been submitted but the calculations therein are inaccurate.* *<u>2 scores shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:</u>* *- not all the documents justifying the prices specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call (2 commercial offers (or at least 1 commercial offer for an estimated value of the object to be procured of up to EUR 15 000,00, excluding VAT) and (or) provided screenshots from at least 2 e-shops, the supporting documents (information) are contradictory, not identical to each other, or not identical to the objects indicated in the application and in the estimate;* *- the price is specified accurately, according to the submitted commercial offers and (or) their average, but there are calculation inaccuracies (up to 10% error) (when applicable);* *- business trip, travel expenses exceed the limits set in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, legal acts up to 10% (when not otherwise justified);* *- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) exceed the rates specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call by up to 10 % (where not otherwise justified);* *- if the estimated wage costs and the price justifications submitted have calculation discrepancies of up to 50%.* *<u>1 score shall be awarded if at least one of the following deficiencies is identified:</u>* *- price not based on commercial offers or other supporting information (screenshots, links) (up to 50% difference);* *- business trip, travel expenses exceed the limits set in the Terms and Conditions of the Call, legal acts up to 50% (when not otherwise justified);*				

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) exceed the rates specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call by up to 50 % (where not otherwise justified); *- if the estimated wage costs, the price justifications submitted contain calculation discrepancies of more than 50% or the estimated wage costs are not provided.* *<u>0 score will be awarded if the application meets all the criteria listed below (where applicable):</u>* *- failure to provide supporting documentation for prices;* *- the price is not based on the commercial offers (50 % or more difference);* *- business trip, travel expenses exceed the limits set in the Terms and Conditions of the Call and legal acts for more than 50% (when not otherwise justified);* *- the fees for short-term experts and (or) speakers (if such costs are foreseen in the project application) exceed the rates specified in the Terms and Conditions of the Call for more than 50 % (where not otherwise justified);* **The information submitted with the initial application is assessed**. The CPVA may ask the applicant to submit missing commercial offers or arguments, but they are only used to determine the amount of eligible or ineligible costs (calculation). Commercial offers, explanations, justifications received during the revision do not increase the score for this assessment. An amount exceeding the average of the commercial offers and (or) the limits set out in the Terms and Conditions of the Call **by more than 2 %** shall be considered as ineligible costs, except in cases where the limits on indirect costs and (or) own contribution in kind of the project are exceeded (in this case each euro exceeded shall be considered as ineligible costs).				
3.5.	Contribution of the applicant and (or) partner to the implementation of the project activities and objectives through its own contribution: *the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is more than 30 % of the eligible costs of the project value - 5 scores;* *the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is between 25,01% and 30 % (inclusive) of the eligible costs of the project value - 4 scores;*	5			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is between 20.01% and 25 % (inclusive) of the eligible costs of the project value - 3 scores; *the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is between 15.01% and 20 % (inclusive) of the eligible costs of the project value - 2 scores;* *the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is between 10.01% and 15 % (inclusive) of the eligible costs of the project value - 1 score;* *the own contributions of the applicant and (or) partner(s) is exactly 10 % of the eligible costs of the project value - 0 score.*				
4.	**Project continuity, impact on the target group and sustainability of results**	**15**	**7,50**		
4.1.	The project continues the activities of a previous project. *The project application identifies the specific project to be continued, describes the project, shows a clear link between the applicant and the project, the title of the project, the source of funding, the project promoters and partners are identified - 4 scores.* *The project application identifies the specific project to be continued, a clear link between the applicant and the project, a partial description of the project, the title of the project and the source of funding and (or) the promoters and (or) partners are identified - 3 scores.* *The project application states that the project is a continuation of the activities of a previously implemented project, but it is described in abstract, it is not clear how the applicant is linked to it, the title of the project and (or) the source of funding and (or) the project promoters and (or) partners are identified - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the project is a continuation of the activities of a previously implemented project, but the specific project which activities are being continued is not mentioned and abstract information is provided - 1 score.* *The project does not continue the activities of a previously implemented project, or the application states that the project continues the activities of a previously implemented project, but no supporting information is provided - 0 score.*	4			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
4.2.	The project is (may) be ongoing. *The project application clearly states that the project activities are to be continued after the project has ended, the need for such continuation, and the specific arguments as to how and by which specific institution the continuation will be ensured - 4 scores.* *The project application clearly states that the project activities are to be continued after the project has ended, the need for such continuation, and the specific arguments as to how and by which specific institution the continuation will be ensured, but there are some minor inaccuracies - 3 scores.* *The project application clearly states that the project activities are to be continued after the project has ended and the need for such continuation, but does not specify how the continuity will be ensured - 2 scores.* *The project application indicates that the project activities are to be continued after the end of the project, but does not provide details, describe the need, which institution will be responsible for continuity and (or) how this will be ensured - 1 score.* *The project application does not indicate that the activities could be continued, does not indicate and detail whether they will be continued, does not describe the need, which institution will be responsible for continuity and how this will be ensured - 0 score.*	4			
4.3.	Continuity and sustainability of project results. *The project application clearly indicates how the transferability and continuity of the project results will be ensured, identifies the specific institutions or persons responsible for ensuring the use of the project results, and specifies the specific measures to ensure the transferability and sustainability of the project results - 3 scores.* *The project application clearly indicates how the transferability and continuity of the project results will be ensured, identifies the specific institutions or persons responsible for ensuring the use of the project results, and specifies the specific measures to ensure the transferability and sustainability of the project*	3			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	results, but there are minor uncertainties and inaccuracies in the information provided - 2 scores. *The project application partially indicates how the transferability and continuity of the project results will be ensured, identifies the specific institutions or persons responsible for ensuring the use of the project results, and specifies the specific measures to ensure the transferability and sustainability of the project results, but does not specifically identify or identify only in general, abstract measures to ensure the transferability of the project results and the sustainability of the project are indicated - 1 score.* *The project application does not provide information on the continuity and sustainability of the project, or it is identified in general, declaratively stating that transferability of the results is planned, but no specific measures or responsible authorities are mentioned - 0 score.*				
4.4.	Project impact on the target group. *The project application clearly identifies the specific impact (political, economic, social, psychosocial, etc.) of the implementation of the project and the result achieved on the target group and provides a justification for this - 4 scores.* *The project application clearly identifies the specific impact (political, economic, social, psychosocial, etc.) of the implementation of the project and the results achieved on the target group, and provides a justification for this, but with some minor inaccuracies - 3 scores.* *The project application indicates the impact of the project on the target group in terms of implementation and results achieved, but the information is provided in general, is unspecific and the justification provided is inaccurate - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the implementation of the project and the results achieved will have an impact on the target group, but the information is not detailed, the specific impact is not specified and the justification is abstract - 1 score.*	4			

<table>
<tr><th>Seq No.</th><th>Project assessment criteria</th><th>Maximum possible score</th><th>Passing score</th><th>Score given by the evaluator</th><th>Reasons for the given score
(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>The project application states that the project will have an impact on the target group in terms of its implementation and the results achieved, but no justification is provided - 0 score.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>5.</td><td>Project management and experience</td><td>17</td><td>8,50</td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>5.1.</td><td>The project promoter and at least one member of the project management team have the necessary experience to implement the project.
<table>
<tr><th>Experience</th><th>Applicant</th><th>At least one member of the project management team</th><th>Score</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="3">Experience in implementing at least one similar* project that has achieved the aim of the concept for which the application is submitted or has implemented similar activities will be assessed.

*A similar development cooperation project will be considered as one that is financed by the European Union, other countries or Lithuanian State and municipal budgets or funds.

Only the experience of the team member who, according to the information provided in the application, will carry out the activities of the project will be assessed.</td><td>Has</td><td>Has</td><td>4</td></tr>
<tr><td>Has</td><td>Does not have</td><td>3</td></tr>
<tr><td>Does not have</td><td>Has</td><td>2</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="3">Experience in implementing at least one project (not related to development cooperation or funding from the European Union, other countries or Lithuanian State and municipal budgets or funds).</td><td>Has</td><td>Has</td><td>3</td></tr>
<tr><td>Has</td><td>Does not have</td><td>2</td></tr>
<tr><td>Does not have</td><td>Has</td><td>1</td></tr>
<tr><td>Does not have</td><td>Does not have</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table></td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
</table>

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	Only the experience of the team member who, according to the information provided in the application, will carry out the activities of the project will be assessed.				
5.2.	Project management structure, functions (of the project promoter, partners, manager, experts, etc.). *The project management structure is clear and the functions, responsibilities and necessity of the members of the project implementation team in the implementation of the project are clear and defined - 3 scores.* *The project management structure is partially clear, more than a half of functions and responsibilities of the project team members are clear and defined - 2 scores.* *The project management structure is partially clear, the functions and responsibilities and (or) necessity of a half or more than a half of the project team members are unclear and (or) undefined - 1 score.* *No or superficial description of the project management structure, unclear functions of the members of the project implementation team and their necessity - 0 score.*	3			
5.3.	The project manager has the necessary experience to implement the proposed project. *The project manager has experience in implementation of at least one similar* project - 2 scores.* *The project manager has no experience in implementation of similar* projects, but has experience in implementation of another project - 1 score.* *The project manager does not have any experience, or the application does not indicate experience of at least one similar* or other project - 0 score.* ** A similar project will be considered a development cooperation project with a value of at least 70 per cent of the planned value of the project as indicated in point 1.5 of the application.*	2			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
5.4.	The experts identified for the project have sufficient experience. *The project application clearly justifies that the use of experts is not necessary or, if experts are used, clearly indicates which experts will be used, their functions and experience, or, if the specific experts to be used are not known, clearly indicates the information on the number of experts needed, the requirements for the experts and their functions in the project - 2 scores.* *The project application indicates that experts are to be used, but does not detail the number of experts required and (or) the requirements and (or) functions of the experts in the project - 1 score.* *The project application states or mentions that experts are to be used, but does not provide any details - 0 score.*	2			
5.5.	Project risks have been identified and measures to manage them have been provided. *Project risk assessment has been carried out, identifying and assessing all potential project risks (at least 3), describing the measures to manage all the risks identified, and indicating the persons responsible for their application - 4 scores.* *Project risk assessment has been carried out, identifying and assessing all potential project risks (at least 3), describing the measures to manage all the risks identified, and indicating the persons responsible for their application, but there are minor inaccuracies and inconsistencies - 3 scores.* *Project risks assessed, all project risks identified and assessed (at least 3), describing the measures to manage the risks identified, but not all persons responsible for their application are identified or 2 project risks are identified and described - 2 scores.* *The risks of the project have been partially assessed, and the following have been determined:* *- at least 1 project risk and its management measures and responsible persons described , or*	4			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	- more than 1 project risk, but the risk management measures are not described and (or) the persons responsible for the application of the risk management measures are not indicated *- 1 score.* *No risk assessment of the project - 0 score.*				
5.6.	Applying practices of the project promoter in the field of corruption prevention. *2 scores are awarded for:* *- where the project promoter is a legal entity: the project application clearly states that the project promoter has an implemented anti-corruption programme, action plan or transparency standard, or states that the project promoter is a member of the Clear Wave Initiative, with supporting documents or references;* *- where the project promoter is a natural person: the project application states that the project promoter has completed the course "International Bribery" organised by the Lithuanian Special Investigation Service and has a certificate to prove it.* *1 score is awarded for:* *- where the project promoter is a legal entity: the project application clearly states that the project promoter has an implemented anti-corruption programme, action plan or transparency standard, or states that the project promoter is a member of the Clear Wave Initiative; but the attached documents or references to them are inaccurate, unclear or missing;* *- where the project promoter is a natural person: the project application states that the project promoter has completed the course "International Bribery" organised by the Lithuanian Special Investigation Service, but no supporting certificate is provided.* *0 score is awarded:* *- where the project promoter is a legal entity: the project application indicates that the project promoter does not have an anti-corruption programme, action plan or transparency standard or is not a member of the Clear Wave Initiative;* *- where the project promoter is a natural person: the project application states that the project promoter has not completed the course "International Bribery" organised by the Lithuanian Special Investigation Service.*	2			
6.	**Special criteria**	**10**	**2,00**		

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
6.1.	The project application includes specific measures to publicize the project in Lithuania and in the partner country(ies): *Up to 2 scores shall be awarded for each of the publicity measure provided for in points 6.1.1 to 6.1.4:* *The project application indicates that the project will be publicized in Lithuania and in the partner country (if more than one partner country is indicated, in each partner country), the specific publicity measures are indicated, references to them are given, and the frequency of publicity is indicated - 2 scores.* *The project application states that the project will be publicized in Lithuania and (or) in a partner country (if more than one partner country is indicated, in each partner country) through a specific publicity measure, but the specific publicity measures in Lithuania or in at least one of the partner countries (if more than one partner country is indicated in the project application) are not specified or described, or not fully referenced and (or) the frequency of the publicity is not indicated - 1 score.* *The project application does not indicate that the project will be publicized in Lithuania and (or) in a partner country (if more than one partner country is indicated - in each partner country) - 0 score.* Notes: - Meetings, events (including project launches, presentations of results, conferences), etc. planned within the project activities are not considered as publicity events for the project, as they are necessary for the implementation of the project and no scores will be awarded for such activities in this assessment group; - if more than one partner country is selected in the project application, the description of the publicity of the project in the partner countries should include a description of the publicity of the project in each partner country. If at least one is not described, the maximum score (2) cannot be awarded.	8			
6.1.1.	*In the media: online news portals and (or) the press.*	2			
6.1.2.	*In the media: radio and (or) TV.*	2			
6.1.3.	*Social networks and (or) blogs and (or) websites of the project promoter and (or) partner.*	2			
6.1.4.	*In other publications.*	2			
6.2.	The project contributes to the equal distribution of the funds.	2			

Seq No.	Project assessment criteria	Maximum possible score	Passing score	Score given by the evaluator	Reasons for the given score *(where less than the maximum scores are given, reasons are mandatory)*
	The project will continue (it is ongoing) implemented (completed) or is currently being implemented by project promoter (with the status as project promoter or project partner) a project financed by the Fund - 2 scores.* *The project promoter is not currently* implementing any project financed by the Fund - 1 score.* *The project promoter is currently* implementing at least one project financed by the Fund which does not continue (it is not continuous) the project stated in the project application - 0 score.* * A project currently under implementation will be considered as a project for which a project implementation agreement has been concluded on the date of the deadline for submission of project applications, a part of which is financed by the Fund (pending the fulfilment of all contractual obligations), or for which the Fund has been committed but for which a project implementation agreement has not yet been concluded and has not yet been withdrawn by the project promoter and (or) partner.				
Total number of scores:		**100**	**56**		

Part II: CONCLUSION

☐ The application scores 55 points or less or does not obtain the required passing score in at least one group of the assessment criteria. The application is declared ineligible and is rejected.
☐ The application obtains more than 55 points, and it also receives passing score in all groups of the assessment criteria. The application is declared eligible for funding.

Total scores given:	
Evaluator's Notes	

(Evaluation summary. Where an application is rejected, the specific reasons for this are given)	

	As stated in the project application	**Recommended for funding** *(only for those project applications that obtain more than 55 points, and also receive passing score in all groups of the assessment criteria)*
Total project value, EUR		
Amount of Fund, EUR		

Name, date of completion and signature of the person who carried out the evaluation[1]

[1] *No date of completion of the evaluation and no signature is required for electronic signatures.*